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Preface

Dear Reader,

While the European VC/PE ecosystem has survived the COVID-19 crisis without major damage, the Russian invasion of Ukraine, the geopolitical situation, and the macroeconomic environment have started to negatively affect the VC and PE markets. In particular in the case of European VC, there are signs that the rising interest rates contributed to a deterioration of the fundraising climate, decreasing company valuations and subsequent portfolio write-downs. The fundraising sentiment of the VC market is at a record low since the launch of our equity surveys and the exit environment has become particularly challenging in 2022. Portfolio companies thus face significant obstacles, in particular in their access to finance, for which respondents’ expectations reached the lowest level since the start of the EIF VC Survey in 2018.

Nevertheless, the vast majority of the surveyed VC fund managers consider their current state of business to be good or very good, despite the Russian invasion and the ensuing crisis. Most fund managers still expect a positive or neutral state of their business in the next 12 months, seeing opportunities arising even in this difficult year. However, as respondents’ expectations are at record lows for most of our market sentiment indicators, there is a high risk that the worst part of this new crisis is yet to come. Despite the optimistic market growth in the recent years, creative policy solutions will be crucial for strengthening the ability of the European VC ecosystem to absorb shocks. This includes a deeper involvement of private long-term investors who stay in the market even during downturns, initiatives to encourage the financing of European scale-ups, and an improved exit environment in order to support a thriving and resilient European VC ecosystem, capable of nurturing the tech champions of tomorrow.

EIF’s Research & Market Analysis strives to support this process by improving the availability of information for evidence-based policy interventions, which are needed especially during and in the aftermath of crises. With three regular and anonymous equity surveys, the EIF VC Survey, the EIF Private Equity Mid-Market Survey, and the EIF Business Angels Survey, the EIF’s Research & Market Analysis team provides unique market insight, typically on an annual basis.

The study at hand looks at the current situation, developments in the recent past, and expectations for the future. In this publication, the main results are summarised and compared over time. The respondents of the EIF VC Survey, VC fund managers from all over Europe, revealed very interesting insights, providing a unique picture of the developments and the market sentiment in 2022.

I thank Invest Europe for their support, and I also thank all the contributors for this insightful project and analysis. In order to facilitate the reading, we offer a hybrid slide document instead of the traditional Working Paper style. I hope you will enjoy it.

Kind regards,

Helmut Kraemer-Eis

EIF Chief Economist and Head of Research & Market Analysis
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1 | Executive summary

EIF Research & Market Analysis
Survey wave 2022
The EIF VC Survey

Executive summary

Number of respondents: 443

Stage:
- Pre-seed: 16%
- Seed: 33%
- Early: 35%
- Later stage / Growth stage: 15%

Top sectors:
- ICT: 31%
- Biotech & healthcare: 20%
- Energy & environment: 12%

Exceptional times require unique market insight

Evidence-based policy intervention to address challenges and opportunities

- The EIF concentrates on supporting the necessary private sector VC infrastructure to address market gaps and challenges as well as to support opportunities with the aim to further enhance the attractiveness of European VC as an alternative asset class.

- In order to improve the availability of information for evidence-based policy interventions, the EIF performs, on a regular basis, the EIF VC Survey, the Private Equity Mid-Market Survey, and the EIF Business Angels Survey. In addition, the EIF Private Debt Survey has also been launched in 2021. All surveys are conducted on an anonymous basis.

- The already large outreach of the EIF surveys, which are coordinated by EIF’s Research Market Analysis (RMA), and the high relevance of the questionnaire topics for both market participants and policy makers have further increased through a cooperation with Invest Europe from 2021 onwards.

The EIF surveys provide a unique source of information and insight

- The EIF VC Survey, the EIF Private Equity Mid-Market Survey, and the EIF Business Angels Survey provide the opportunity to retrieve unique market insight. To the best of our knowledge, the combined EIF VC Survey and EIF PE MM Survey currently represent the largest regular survey exercise among GPs in Europe.

- The 2022 wave of the EIF VC Survey and the EIF PE MM Survey focused on the impact of the Russian invasion in Ukraine and the geopolitical and macroeconomic implications on the European VC and PE market sentiment. This enabled us to look at the current situation, developments in the recent past, and expectations for the future. We also summarised and compared the findings over time across crises. Several related publications are under preparation as well, such as the results of the new wave of the EIF PE MM Survey.

The VC market sentiment perceptions significantly deteriorated compared to 2021

- The VC perceptions of the fundraising environment are worse than during the COVID-19 crisis, with the vast majority of surveyed VCs expecting it to further worsen over the next year. In addition, finding co-investors has become more difficult for VCs, and is also expected to further worsen.

- The number of new investments and investment proposals received is affected less by the current crisis compared to the COVID-19 crisis. Nevertheless, 1 in 4 VCs expect investments to decrease over the next year – a value quadrupling since 2021.

- The competition among investors for investee companies has decreased strongly, and many expect it to further decline. About half of VCs report that entry prices decreased more than during the COVID-19 crisis and the majority expect them to further decrease next year. In the same vein, high investee company valuations is no longer a significant issue for VCs, even though in 2021 it was perceived as the first most important challenge facing the VC business.

- Portfolio companies developed mostly as expected, but worse than in 2021, with only about 1 in 3 VCs rating their investees’ access to finance as good. Expectations for both future portfolio development and portfolio companies’ access to finance in the next 12 months are at an all-time low since the launch of our equity surveys.

The VC exit environment suffered from a shock, in some elements even worse than during COVID-19

- In 2022, the majority of VCs’ portfolio companies exited via trade sales to strategic buyers, of which more than half were headquartered outside the EU.

- According to half of VCs, the exit environment worsened significantly after a recovery in 2021. More than 50% expect it to further deteriorate over the next year – twice the percentage recorded during the COVID-19 crisis.

- The VC exit prices have decreased because of the current crisis. While only 6% expected exit prices to decrease in 2021, the percentage has increased tenfold in 2022 for the coming year.

- The key challenges with regard to the exit environment are insufficient IPO market liquidity and difficulties in finding potential buyers.

- Securing equity financing and recruiting high quality professionals are the biggest challenges for VCs’ portfolio companies. For almost 1 in 4 VCs, securing equity financing has even become a survival-threatening problem for their investees.

- Geopolitical uncertainty and related consequences, including the difficult macroeconomic environment, and the overall exit environment are currently the most important challenges in VC business. Geopolitical uncertainty and related (macroeconomic) consequences in particular saw a sharp spike in importance due to the war.
Executive summary

• VCs changed the relative importance of their investment selection criteria as a response to the current geopolitical and macroeconomic situation.

• Alongside the management team, scalability potential, and technology, financial criteria such as valuation and deal terms, cash generating capacity, and profitability became more important. At the same time, the importance of ESG considerations increased considerably too.

• VCs also changed their investment strategy to some extent. Changes occurred in particular with regard to the required experience of the entrepreneur and the preferred sector/industry.

Portfolio companies

• Securing equity financing, securing liquidity, and shortage of skilled labour are overall currently the most survival-threatening issues for portfolio companies.

• Going deeper into financing-related challenges, securing equity financing, securing liquidity, reduced exit opportunities, and rising inflation levels seem to be most important ones.

• Among the market-related challenges, customer acquisition and retention appears to be the most pressing issue.

• As for operational challenges, shortage of skilled labour, rising labour costs, and supply chain disruptions are particularly worrying for VC-backed companies.

VC funds

• VCs report severe fundraising issues. These are mostly related to greater risk aversion of LPs, LPs leaving the market, rising interest rates, and rising levels of inflation.

• In particular banks, insurances, high-net-worth-individuals, pension funds, but also family offices and corporate investors seem to have a (much) lower willingness to invest in VC. By contrast, the willingness of government funds to invest in VC has changed only little.

• VCs also report severe operational issues. These are mostly related to liquidity needs of portfolio companies, reduced set of divestment and exit opportunities, more regulation and bureaucracy in fund management, and decreased operational performance of portfolio companies.

EIF VC Survey results: Key highlights (2/2)

The war in Ukraine and its economic implications have substantially affected many aspects of the VC market
EIF VC Survey

Market sentiment

EIF Research & Market Analysis
Survey wave 2022
After a strong surge in 2021, VC fund managers’ perception of their state of business declined to a level comparable to the COVID-19 crisis in 2022.

The “net balance” decreased strongly by 17 percentage points. (See the Annex for an explanation of the term “net balance” here.)

Q: “How would you assess the current state of your business?”

Expectations for the next 12 months are at a record low.

The percentage of respondents that have a negative vision for the next 12 months has reached the highest value since recording.

Q: “Over the next 12 months, how do you expect the state of your business to develop?”
The perception of the fundraising environment reached an all-time low.

- The optimistic picture observed in 2021 has been replaced by a very pessimistic market perception in 2022.
- The share of respondents rating the current fundraising environment as (very) bad reached a record high in 2022. The net balance of -27% shows a high level of pessimism regarding the fundraising environment.

**Q:** “How would you rate the current fundraising environment?”

- Respondents’ expectations for the future fundraising environment have declined to the lowest level since recording.
- While the share of pessimistic respondents reached a record high in the history of the EIF VC Survey waves, the share of optimistic respondents fell to an all-time low.

**Q:** “Over the next 12 months, how do you expect the fundraising environment to develop?”
Market sentiment

Easiness in finding co-investors

Finding co-investors has become more difficult, and most VCs are expecting it to become more difficult in the coming months.

- Following an improvement in 2021, finding co-investors has become much more difficult in 2022.
- The share of respondents who stated that it is easy to find co-investors dropped to a record low.

Q: “How easy/difficult is it currently to find co-investors to syndicate?”

Note: The “Average” response option was only provided since the 2021 survey wave. *The question was not asked in 2018.

- The expectations regarding the easiness to find co-investors have dropped to an all-time low, with 43% of respondents expecting it to become more difficult.
- Only a small share of respondents expect the easiness to find co-investors to increase, leading to an all-time low of the net balance.

Q: “Over the next 12 months, how do you expect finding co-investors to become?”
• The effects of the current crisis does not seem to affect the number of proposals received as negatively as during the COVID crisis.

• Nevertheless, the share of respondents reporting a growing number of incoming investment proposals declined in 2022.

Q: “Over the last 12 months, how has the number of venture investment proposals to you/your firm developed?”

*Note: The question was not asked in 2018 and 2019.

• VCs expect, on average, to receive an increasing number of investment proposals over the next 12 months.

• At the same time, 2 in 5 respondents expect no change in the number of investment proposals.

• In net balance terms, the expectations are at a record low.

Q: “Over the next 12 months, how do you expect the number of investment proposals to you/your firm to develop?”
On average, the number of new investments increased in 2022, while expectations dropped substantially.

- Respondents reported, on average, growing investments in 2022.
- Despite a strong drop in the net balance, the results for the current situation are slightly better than at the all-time low that was recorded during the COVID-19 crisis in 2020.

Q: “Over the last 12 months, how has the number of your new investments developed?”

*Note: The question was not asked in 2018 and 2019.

- The expected number of new investments decreased strongly, in net balance terms. At the same time, it is still positive, on average.
- A record-high share of respondents (25%) expect the number of new investments to decline.

Q: “Over the next 12 months, how do you expect the number of your new investments to develop?”
Competition among investors for potential investee companies

VCs report unchanged competition for investee companies and expect less competition in the next 12 months.

- On balance, competition among investors for potential investee companies remained unchanged in 2022.
- Despite still being at a positive level, the net balance dropped strongly and reached a record low.

Q: “Over the past months, how have the following items developed?” Graph shows the responses for “Competition among investors for potential investee companies”

- Respondents expect less competition in the next 12 months, on average.
- The share of respondents that expect a decline in competition increased from 1% in 2021 to a record high of 38% in 2022.

Q: “When you consider your market over the next 12 months, how do you expect the following items to develop?” Graph shows the responses for “Competition among investors for potential investee companies”
After a strong recovery in 2021, entry prices have decreased in 2022, according to most VCs.

At the same time, almost a quarter of the respondents still reported an increase in entry prices.

Entry prices are expected to decrease over the next 12 months by 69% of all respondents.

Only 9% of respondents expect entry prices to increase over the next 12 months, which marks an all-time low.
Market sentiment – Investments

Investment strategy

October 2020
Since March, have you...

- ...invested in new deals only? 8%
- ...invested in follow-ons in portfolio companies only? 9%
- ...invested in both new deals and follow-ons in portfolio companies? 17%
- ...not invested? 66%

2021
Over the last 12 months, have you...

- ...invested in new deals only? 5%
- ...invested in follow-ons in portfolio companies only? 7%
- ...invested in both new deals and follow-ons in portfolio companies? 9%
- ...not invested? 79%

2022
Over the last 12 months, have you...

- ...invested in new deals only? 2%
- ...invested in follow-ons in portfolio companies only? 6%
- ...invested in both new deals and follow-ons in portfolio companies? 9%
- ...not invested? 83%

The share of VCs that did not invest reached a record low.

• In 2022, more than 80% of respondents invested in both new deals and follow-ons in portfolio companies over the past 12 months.

• While the number of VCs investing in both new deals and follow-ons increased since 2020, the number of those that have not invested decreased.
Over the next 12 months, how do you expect your portfolio to develop?

- In 2022, portfolio development was worse than in 2021, as shown by the strong decline of the net balance.
- Almost half of the respondents reported that their portfolio companies developed as expected.
- The net balance was less negative in 2022 than in October 2020, when the net balance had reached a record low.

Q: “Over the past months, how did your portfolio companies develop?”

Note: The 2020 Autumn wave asked about developments since March 2020, while the other waves asked about developments over the last 12 months.

- After a strong improvement of the expected portfolio development in 2021, expectations deteriorated substantially in 2022.
- Despite a still positive net balance, the share of VCs that expect their portfolio companies to improve decreased to an all-time low.

Q: “Over the next 12 months, how do you expect your portfolio to develop?”
A large majority of respondents (78%) expect a positive NAV growth in 2022, but this share is lower than in 2021 (92%).

At the same time, the share of respondents that expect the NAV of their fund(s) to decrease is higher than in 2021.

Q: “How do you expect the NAV (Net Asset Value) of your fund(s) to evolve until the end of Q4 2022 compared to the NAV as of Q4 2021? (measured as % change from Q4 2021 to expected NAV in Q4 2022)”
Almost 2 in 5 VC fund managers do not expect any insolvencies in 2022.

At the same time, 6% of VCs expect that more than 10% of their portfolio companies might file for insolvency.

One third of VC fund managers do not know or prefer not to state expected insolvencies.

28% of VCs expect that at least 1% of their portfolio companies might file for insolvency.

Q in 2022: “Please indicate the expected % of your active portfolio companies that might file for insolvency in 2022”.

*Q in 2020 and 2021: “Please indicate the expected % of your active portfolio companies that might file for insolvency due to the impact of COVID-19”.
While a majority of VCs reported the access to external finance of their portfolio companies to be average or better, the result is worse than in 2021, as shown by the development of the net balance.

The number of respondents who stated a (very) bad access to finance of their portfolio companies increased strongly compared to the previous year.

After a record high in 2021, the expectations regarding future access to finance of portfolio companies declined dramatically in 2022.

Almost two thirds of VCs expect the access to external finance of their portfolio companies to deteriorate in the next 12 months.

Q: “How would you rate the access to external finance of your portfolio companies?”
Note: In 2018, the wording of the question differed from the current wording and the response options have been recoded for the time series.

Q: “Over the next 12 months, how do you expect the access to external finance of your portfolio companies to develop?”
*The question was not asked in 2018 and 2019.

Almost half of all respondents see a decrease in current valuations of portfolio companies, which marks a record-low level since 2020.

The share of respondents that reported increased valuations declined, but it is still higher than in October 2020.

Almost half of all respondents expect a further decline.

The result contrasts significantly with the previous year, when only 2% expected decreasing valuations.

Despite a high degree of pessimism, more than a quarter of respondents still expect increasing valuations.

Q: “Over the past months, how have the following items developed?”

Graph shows the responses for “Current valuations of portfolio companies”

Q: “Over the next 12 months, how do you expect the valuation of portfolio companies to develop?”

The percentage of total exits made up of **trade sales increased to 50%** in the 2022 survey wave, compared with 44% in the preceding wave.

The share of **IPOs/sales of listed stocks** decreased, while the share of **insolvencies** slightly increased.

**Sales to financial investors** and **secondary sales to third parties** have remained relatively stable over the past years.
Market sentiment – Exits

Exit routes – within or outside the EU?

A large part of exits is outside the EU, in particular in the case of IPOs / sales of listed stocks and trade sales to strategic buyers.

- The highest share of IPOs /sale of listed stocks is typically with primary listing outside the EU, but this share decreased in 2022.
- Sales to PE/VC/financial investors tend to be to acquirers headquartered in the EU. The share of respondents that report such intra-EU sales increased slightly, compared to the 2021 survey wave.
- These results could either indicate the growth of EU private markets and alternative investments or show increased difficulties to get access to counterparts outside the EU.

Q: “Please tell us, if your trade sales (sales to financial investors; secondary sales) have been to strategic buyers (investors; 3rd parties) headquartered within or outside the EU”

Q: “Please tell us, if your IPOs / sales of listed stocks have been with primary listing within or outside the EU”
After a strong recovery of the exit environment in 2021, the situation in 2022 is considered similarly bad as during the COVID-19 crisis.

The majority of respondents stated that the exit environment has deteriorated and only a small fraction of 14% sees improvements.

Most VCs expect the exit environment to further deteriorate in the next 12 months.

Compared to the results of the EIF VC Survey wave performed during the COVID-19 crisis in October 2020, the share of respondents expecting the exit environment to deteriorate more than doubled. This indicates that the negative effects of the current crisis on the exit environment are expected to last longer than the effects of the pandemic.

Q: “Over the last 12 months, how has the exit environment for your venture portfolio companies developed?” Note: The 2020 October wave asked about developments since March 2020, while the other waves asked about developments over the last 12 months. *The question was not asked in 2018 and 2019.

Q: “Over the next 12 months, how do you expect the exit opportunities of your venture portfolio companies to develop?”

The exit environment suffered from a shock comparable to the COVID-19 crisis, and VCs expect the exit environment to deteriorate even further.
After a strong recovery and increase in exit prices in 2021, the majority of VCs stated that exit prices decreased in 2022.

Compared to the COVID-19 crisis, when 35% of VCs saw a decrease in exit prices in the October 2020 survey wave, the current crisis seems to have a more negative impact, with 53% of investors stating a decline in exit prices in 2022.

Whereas only 6% of respondents expected exit prices to decrease in 2021, the share increased more than tenfold in 2022.

During the COVID-19 crisis, VCs showed much less pessimistic expectations than in the current crisis. Responses in 2022 indicate a comparatively stronger and/or more longer-term decrease in exit prices.
Apart from “Securing equity financing”, which has become the new top challenge for VCs’ portfolio companies, “Recruiting high-quality professionals” is still seen as a very important challenge for portfolio firms, albeit dropping from first place to second place.

“Geopolitical uncertainty and related consequences” is the third most important challenge faced.

Challenges previously considered important showed a decrease in importance this year, i.e., “Disruption of business activity due to COVID-19”, “Strong Competition”, “Internationalization”, “Regulation”. Contrary to this, “Costs of production and labour”, “Securing liquidity”, “Supply chains” have seen a rise from last year.
Securing equity financing tops the list of portfolio companies’ first most important challenges, followed by recruiting high-quality professionals.

Q: “Please select the biggest challenges you currently see for your venture portfolio companies.” Note: The graph shows the percentage of respondents that selected the respective challenge as the first most important in each survey wave. Some categories were not available each year (e.g. the category “Geopolitical uncertainty” was only available for the year 2022).

- “Securing equity financing” tops the list of the first most important challenges faced by VCs’ portfolio companies in 2022. It is followed by “Recruiting high-quality professionals”, which has always been a key challenge for portfolio companies throughout the years.
- In 2022, “Geopolitical uncertainty” was selected by an important share of respondents as the first most important challenge for portfolio companies, while “Supply chain” and “Costs of production and labour” increased in importance.
VCs’ top challenge is “Geopolitical uncertainty and related consequences”, which includes the macroeconomic environment, has become the most important challenge currently seen in VC business.

- **Geopolitical uncertainty and related consequences**, which includes the difficult macro-economic environment, which we refer to later, can easily be seen as one of these consequences. The difficult macroeconomic environment, in turn, weighs on the VC market, as shown by the subsequent challenges.

- The “Overall exit environment” increased in importance as a challenge and ranks second now. “Fundraising” has remained the third most important challenge faced. “Investee company performance” and the “IPO market” also increased in importance as a challenge. “Market volatility” jumped from 10th place last year to the 4th place in 2022 among VCs’ key challenges.

- “High investee company valuations” and “Competition from other investors” became significantly less important as a challenge in 2022.

---

### Biggest challenges currently seen in VC business

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Percentage of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geopolitical uncertainty and related consequences [1:N/A]</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall exit environment [2:5]</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundraising your next vehicle [3:3]</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market volatility [4:10]</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investee company performance [5:8]</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPO market [6:11]</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of high quality entrepreneurs [7:4]</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disruption of business activities and operations due to Covid-19 [8:9]</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small fund sizes [9:6]</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High investee company valuations [10:1]</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation [11:7]</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competition from other investors [12:2]</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-border market fragmentation [13:12]</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee pressure [14:14]</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The first number in brackets [a:b] corresponds to the current ranking of the challenge while the second number represents the respective ranking of the challenge in the EIF VC Survey 2021.

**Q:** “Please select the biggest challenges you currently see in the venture capital business.”

**Note 1:** As of 2022 the “Fundraising” category has been reworded to “Fundraising your next vehicle”. For 2022, the category “Political uncertainty” has been broadened to include “Geopolitical uncertainty and related consequences”. **Note 2:** The graph shows the percentage of respondents that selected the respective item as their first, second or third most important challenge. **Note 3:** this graph is only for the year 2022.
Challenges faced by VC firms in regards to the exit environment

VCs mainly consider insufficient liquidity in the IPO market and general difficulties in finding potential buyers as the current main challenges regarding the exit environment.

- “Insufficient liquidity in the IPO market” and “General difficulties in finding potential buyers” are the main challenges regarding the exit environment.
- Additional challenges that were frequently mentioned by respondents are “Underdeveloped M&A market”, “Too few large-ticket buyers” and an insufficiently developed market infrastructure for IPOs in the EU.

Q: “What are the current main challenges regarding the exit environment?” Note: Multiple selection possible. This question was asked only to those respondents that selected the “exit environment” among the biggest challenges in VC business in the preceding question.

“Fundraising” has remained, on average, the biggest challenge faced by VCs throughout the years. A spike in “Political uncertainty” in 2022 shows the importance that the war on Ukraine has on VC firms.

Q: “Please select the biggest challenges you currently see in the venture capital business.” Note 1: As of 2022 the “Fundraising” category has been reworded to “Fundraising your next vehicle”. For 2022, the category “Political uncertainty” has been broadened to include “Geopolitical uncertainty and related consequences”. Note 2: The graph shows the percentage of respondents that selected the respective challenge as the first most important in each survey wave.

- “Fundraising” has remained, on average, the biggest challenge faced by VC firms throughout the years, followed by “number of high quality entrepreneurs” and the “Exit environment”.

- “High investee company valuations” decreased in importance in 2022, similar to the development in the COVID-19 crisis year 2020.

- In 2022, a spike in “Political uncertainty” to the same level as “Fundraising”, shows the importance that VC firms put on the war in Ukraine and its consequences (see also pages 33 and 51).
Headquarter & most promising countries for VC investments

VCs expect Germany, the UK and France to be the most promising European countries for VC investments over the coming months.

- Germany, the UK and France have been ranked as the most promising European countries in the next 12 months.
- For Germany and the UK, the difference between the share of respondents that selected the respective country as the most promising and the share of respondents headquartered in the same country is particularly high.
- Respondents’ perception of key countries in East Europe has not changed much compared to the previous EIF VC Survey wave.

Q: “In which country/geography is your firm headquartered?”

Q: “According to your expectation, please rank those European countries that will be most promising for VC investments over the next 12 months, in order of importance.”
## Most promising countries for VC investments – reasons

| Germany          | • Size of market  
|                 | • Technological innovation  
|                 | • Availability of entrepreneurial talent  
| UK              | • Mature VC and start-up ecosystem  
|                 | • Entrepreneurship and founder talent  
|                 | • Size of market and access to financing  
|                 | • Strong pipeline of potential investments  
| France          | • Strong government/public support  
|                 | • Entrepreneurship and founder talent  
|                 | • Strong VC ecosystem and large start-up / scale-up scene  
|                 | • Good access to funding  
| Netherlands      | • Strength in technology sector, including Biotech, MedTech and Deep tech  
|                 | • Strong VC ecosystem  
|                 | • Availability of entrepreneurial talent  
| Switzerland      | • Good deal flow  
|                 | • Strong universities; innovation potential  
|                 | • Strength in the fields of life sciences, big pharma, biotech, and in the technology sector more generally  
| Spain           | • Low entry prices  
|                 | • Significant growth potential for start-ups  

Q: “Why do you consider this/these country/countries promising?” Note: We provide reasons only for those six countries considered most promising in the previous question.
Market sentiment – Prospects

Most promising sectors/industries in the near future

- Alongside sectors that were mentioned as promising even during COVID (like Healthcare and Biotech), new promising sectors emerge in the current macroeconomic and geopolitical context.

- **Energy (and Environment)** are at the centre of fund managers’ discussions, including technologies to facilitate **sustainable energy transition and climate change mitigation**.

- Technologies related to **Food and Agriculture** are also on the rise.

**Q:** “What sector/industry would you consider as the most promising for venture capital investments in the near future?” Note: The graph was generated using Wordcloud whereby the bigger the font size the more frequently the respective answer was mentioned in the free-text field.
Market sentiment – Prospects

Venture Capital industry growth prospects

On a scale of 1 to 10, how confident are you in the long-term growth prospects of the Venture Capital industry in your market?

On a scale of 1 to 10, how confident are you in the long-term growth prospects of the European Venture Capital industry?

Fund managers’ confidence in the long-term growth prospects of the VC industry declined in 2022.

- Fund managers’ confidence in the long-term growth prospects of the VC industry declined both for their own market and, in particular, for Europe overall.
- At the same time, long-term growth prospects in VCs’ own markets are at a higher level than before the COVID crisis.
- Most likely, this is mainly due to a stronger market resilience and to opportunities that fund managers see arising in the recent crises.
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Impact of current geopolitical & macroeconomic environment
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Management team, scalability of the business, and technology are the three most important investment selection criteria.

Q: “Considering your firm’s overall activity in the VC market, what are your most important investment selection criteria?” (multiple selection possible)
Changes in VCs’ investment selection criteria
(criteria with highest increase in importance)

The investment selection criteria changed as a response to the current geopolitical situation and macroeconomic environment.

- **Valuation and deal terms** increased in importance by 62%.
- **Cash-generating capacity** increased by 41%.
- **Profitability/potential of the business** increased by 38%.
- **Exit potential** increased by 37%.
- **Revenue generating capacity** increased by 35%.
- **Management team** increased by 28%.
- **Business model** increased by 25%.
- **ESG considerations** increased by 23%.
- **Geographical location** increased by 22%.
- **Product's value proposition** increased by 19%.

Note: Reading example: 62% of VCs state that the importance of “Valuation and deal terms” has increased in the context of the current geopolitical situation and macroeconomic environment. The graph presents the 10 criteria with the highest increase in importance as indicated by survey participants. For the full list of response options, please refer to the Annex.

Q: “Considering the current geopolitical situation and macroeconomic environment, has the importance of the respective investment selection criteria changed?”

- The **financial criteria in general became more important** during the current crisis: valuation & deal terms, cash- and revenue-generating capacity, profitability and exit potential.
- But so did the **management team, product value proposition and business model**.
- Almost 1 in 4 VCs state that the importance of **ESG criteria** also increased in the context of the current environment.
Changes in VCs’ investment strategy

- VCs made some changes to their investment strategy due to the current geopolitical situation and macroeconomic environment.

- In particular, with regard to the required experience of the entrepreneurs and the preferred sector or industry.

Q: “To what extent does the current geopolitical situation and macroeconomic environment change your investment strategy regarding ..."
Survival-threatening issues for portfolio companies in the current environment

For almost 1 in 4 VCs, securing equity financing has become a survival-threatening problem for their portfolio companies.

Note: The graph presents the 11 issues that have been identified by survey participants as the most survival-threatening. For the full list of response options, please see pp. 45-47.

Q: “Considering the current geopolitical situation and macroeconomic environment, to what extent do the following issues constitute a problem for your venture portfolio companies?”
Deep dive: Financing-related challenges for portfolio companies in the current environment

Securing equity financing, securing liquidity, reduced exit opportunities, and rising inflation levels are currently the four most important financing-related challenges for portfolio companies.

Q: “Considering the current geopolitical situation and macroeconomic environment, to what extent do the following issues constitute a problem for your venture portfolio companies?"
Deep dive: **Market-related challenges for portfolio companies in the current environment**

Customer acquisition and retention, strong product market competition, difficulties to internationalise, and demand shifts of consumers are currently the four most important market-related challenges for portfolio companies.

| Customer acquisition and retention | 7% Survival-threatening problem | 44% Important problem | 36% Minor problem | 13% No problem at all |
| Strong product market competition | 1% Survival-threatening problem | 27% Important problem | 57% Minor problem | 15% No problem at all |
| Difficulties to internationalise | 2% Survival-threatening problem | 25% Important problem | 44% Minor problem | 29% No problem at all |
| Demand shifts of customers | 2% Survival-threatening problem | 25% Important problem | 47% Minor problem | 26% No problem at all |
| Travel restrictions | 1% Survival-threatening problem | 16% Important problem | 46% Minor problem | 37% No problem at all |
| Export restrictions | 1% Survival-threatening problem | 13% Important problem | 43% Minor problem | 44% No problem at all |

Q: “Considering the current geopolitical situation and macroeconomic environment, to what extent do the following issues constitute a problem for your venture portfolio companies?”

Deep dive: **Operational challenges for portfolio companies** in the current environment

**Shortage of skilled labour, rising labour costs, and supply chain disruptions are currently the three most important operational challenges for portfolio companies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Survival-threatening problem</th>
<th>Important problem</th>
<th>Minor problem</th>
<th>No problem at all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shortage of skilled labour</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising labour costs</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply chain disruptions</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising energy costs</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising production costs (other than energy &amp; labour)</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Potential) energy shortages</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing regulations and bureaucracy</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of experience with geopolitical and macroeconomic uncertainties</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q: “Considering the current geopolitical situation and macroeconomic environment, to what extent do the following issues constitute a problem for your venture portfolio companies?”

Fundraising challenges for VC funds in the current environment

More risk aversion of LPs, LPs leaving the market, rising interest rates, and rising levels of inflation are currently the four most important fundraising-related challenges for VC funds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Survival-threatening problem</th>
<th>Important problem</th>
<th>Minor problem</th>
<th>No problem at all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More risk aversion of LPs</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPs leaving the VC market</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising interest rates</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising levels of inflation</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shift of venture stage focus of LPs</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased regulation and bureaucracy</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shift of geographical focus of LPs</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shift of sector focus of LPs</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in fundraising terms and conditions</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New political goals of LPs</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel restrictions impacting fundraising</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q: "Considering the current geopolitical situation and macroeconomic environment, to what extent do the following issues constitute a fundraising problem for your venture capital fund(s)?"
The willingness of banks, insurances and other finance companies to invest in VC has significantly worsened compared to the situation before the war in Ukraine. By contrast, the willingness of government funds to invest in VC has not changed as much.

Q: “How do you assess the current willingness of different LPs to provide funding for venture capital as an asset class?”

Operational challenges for VC funds in the current environment

Securing liquidity for portfolio companies and reduced exit opportunities are currently the two most significant operational challenges facing VC funds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of respondents</th>
<th>Survival-threatening problem</th>
<th>Important problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquidity needs of portfolio companies</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced set of divestment and exit opportunities</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More regulation and bureaucracy in fund management</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased operational performance of portfolio companies</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in overall levels of venture valuation</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Percentages in black font on top of the bars show the aggregate percentage of respondents who have ranked the respective issue as either a survival-threatening or an important problem. Reading example: For 52% of VCs, the “Liquidity needs of portfolio companies” are considered a significant operational challenge; for 6%, they even constitute a survival-threatening problem. The graph presents the 5 most significant operational challenges as indicated by survey participants. For the full list of response options, please refer to the Annex.

Q: “Considering the current geopolitical situation and macroeconomic environment, to what extent do the following operational issues constitute a problem for your venture capital fund(s)?

Impact of current geopolitical & macroeconomic environment – Challenges for VC funds

Macroeconomic environment vs. Geopolitical situation

Most respondents worry more about the macro-economic environment (66%) than about the geopolitical situation (21%).

Q: “Comparing the different impacts of the current geopolitical situation and macroeconomic environment, what worries you more?”

Concluding remarks
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Negative developments in the VC market in 2022

Market sentiment deteriorated

- The European VC markets initially recovered quickly from the first shock of the pandemic and showed no signs of “long COVID”.

- Having said this, the sudden halt and resumption in demand following the COVID-19 confinement measures resulted in significant supply chain issues, igniting, in turn, a rising trend in import and producer prices, inflation as well as inflation expectations. These were further fuelled by the surge in energy prices in the aftermath of the war in Ukraine.

- In light of these developments and even if yet to be reflected in “hard” market activity data, the survey findings clearly suggest that market sentiment has turned around; and that the current geopolitical and macroeconomic uncertainties have started to have a considerably negative impact on several aspects of the European VC market.

VCs face significant challenges...

- The fundraising sentiment in the VC market is at a record low since the start of our EIF VC Survey in 2018. The importance of the exit environment as a key challenge for VCs has increased substantially in 2022. Exit prices are expected to further decrease by the majority of VCs, who primarily suffer from insufficient liquidity in the IPO market, and difficulties in finding potential buyers.

- The outlook for the next 12 months is also pessimistic, with many VCs expecting the fundraising environment, finding co-investors, exit opportunities, and the access to finance of their portfolio companies to deteriorate further.

- Given that the expectations of surveyed VCs are at record lows for many of the market sentiment indicators in our survey, there is a high risk that we are perhaps yet to see the worst part of this new crisis.

... and maybe longer-run risks?

- VCs report important financing, market-related and operational issues for their investees, particularly with regard to securing equity financing and liquidity.

- At the fund level, alongside recurring challenges, VCs report severe fundraising issues, mainly related to a greater risk aversion of LPs and LPs even leaving the market.

- At the same time, a range of potential investors into the asset class (from banks and insurances to pension funds, family offices, and corporate investors) show a much lower willingness to invest in VC.

- These longer-term patterns hint towards structural issues, which could be interpreted as potential long-run risks.
Policy implications

A crisis is also an opportunity

- Although at the very beginning of the COVID-19 crisis it looked as if we would experience a doomsday scenario, the VC market realised the opportunities and finally even benefitted from the crisis, as many VC-backed companies provide innovative solutions, for example in the areas of Healthcare and Biotech.

- In the current environment too, new promising sectors emerge. Energy and Environment are at the centre of fund managers’ discussions, including technologies to facilitate sustainable energy transition and climate change mitigation. Technologies related to Food and Agriculture are also on the rise.

- At the same time, the decrease in valuations and in the competition for investees (until recently perceived among the top challenges facing the VC business) could be a needed market correction, enabling more reasonable entry prices, reducing the risk of overfunding, and providing for new opportunities.

Permanent crisis mode?

- From the Great Financial Crisis to the COVID-19 crisis and the current crisis driven by the Russian aggression against Ukraine, it seems that since many years we are in a permanent crisis mode. From a public support perspective, the fact that the intervals of these external shocks have become very short or even overlapping constitutes a big challenge, continuously having to introduce new emergency measures.

- A crisis can also be an opportunity; but these opportunities might not always be supported by sufficient availability of financing. The current geopolitical and macroeconomic uncertainties may have far-reaching and long-lasting consequences, changing the long-run framework conditions for SMEs. The survey findings reveal indeed that fundraising and financing in general have become much more problematic.
Policy implications

New and existing policy solutions

- In a market downturn, efficient public support is even more important, to mitigate the impact of the crisis on the ecosystem.

- Creative policy solutions with different financial instruments will be crucial in this time of crisis, to respond to the financing problems and guide the VC market through this period of high uncertainty.

- The policy solutions should primarily focus on strengthening the ability of the European VC ecosystem to absorb shocks, both the current ones and the future ones.

- Examples of such policies include a deeper involvement of private long-term investors, who would not readily leave during a crisis, but rather stay in the market even during downturns, as well as initiatives to encourage the financing of European scale-ups.

- With the VC exit environment significantly worsening due to the current situation and the expectations of further deterioration in the next year, improving the exit environment should be one of the targets for future policy.

- Over the years and the various crises, the VC ecosystem has improved significantly and has proven its resilience, but there is still a lot of room for development.

- An efficient approach of providing public support and crowding-in private resources could help to eliminate structural issues.

- In such market conditions, an institution like the EIF has an important role to play; intervening counter-cyclically in case of market downturns and failures to mitigate long-run risks, in particular by designing and implementing financial instruments that support longer-term Public Policy Goals.
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The EIF VC Survey

A unique source of information

- **The EIF Venture Capital Survey, the EIF Private Equity Mid-Market Survey, and the EIF Business Angels Survey** provide the opportunity to retrieve unique market insight.

- To the best of our knowledge, the combined **EIF VC Survey** and **EIF PE MM Survey** currently represent the **largest regular survey** exercise among GPs in Europe.

- The **EIF BA Survey** is unique in its pan-European coverage and multi-country approach.

General survey approach

- The EIF equity surveys are **online surveys** of VC and Private Equity (PE) Mid-Market (MM) fund managers as well as of Business Angels (BAs) investing in Europe.

- Our surveys target **both EIF-supported as well as non-EIF supported** fund managers and BAs.

- All surveys are conducted on an **anonymous basis**.

- The vast majority of the respondents in the VC and PE MM surveys hold the position of CEO or Managing/General Partner, suggesting that their responses reflect the **views of the decision-makers** in the respective VC/PE firms.

Cooperation partners

- The already large outreach of the EIF surveys, which are **coordinated by EIF’s Research & Market Analysis (RMA)**, and the high relevance of the questionnaire topics for both market participants and policy makers have further increased through **cooperations**, such as with **Invest Europe**.

- Indeed, a **part of the sample** for the **EIF VC Survey 2022** comprises **Invest Europe members**.
This study and beyond

Respondents and survey period

- This study is based on the *EIF VC Survey*.
- The 2022 wave of the *EIF VC Survey* includes anonymised responses from 443 VC fund managers (from 362 VC firms).
- The headquarters of the VC firms contacted were predominantly in the EU 27 countries. Firms with headquarters outside of Europe were still included in the sample if they had an office in Europe and were active in the European VC market.
- Responses were received between 13 July and 29 August 2022.

Topics

- The main topics covered in the 2022 survey are market sentiment as well as the impact of the current geopolitical situation and macroeconomic environment.
- Since the market sentiment topic was also covered in all previous survey waves, we compare the results using a time series.

Forthcoming

- All EIF survey-based studies (across all survey waves and asset classes) are regularly published in the *EIF Working Paper series*, available here: https://www.eif.org/research
- Forthcoming publications, based on the *EIF VC Survey* as well as on the *EIF PE MM Survey*, will cover topics related to Investing in Environment & Climate and Gender diversity. A publication about the market sentiment in the private equity mid-market is also in preparation.
How to read the results

General information

• Some results shown in this publication (e.g., some results about the VCs’ human capital characteristics) are based on a number of respondents that is smaller than the overall number of EIF VC Survey 2022 respondents. This is either because some respondents selected the “I don’t know / Prefer not to say” response option or because a filter question preceded the question under consideration. In these cases, the final number of respondents is indicated in the respective graphs. Further details are available upon request.

Terminology: Survey waves

• Several analyses draw on the results of multiple EIF VC Survey waves, as outlined below:
  ➢ “2022”: 13 July – 29 August 2022
  ➢ “2021”: 2 July – 4 August 2021
  ➢ “2020 Oct”: 7 October – 3 November 2020
  ➢ “2020 Mar”: 1 March – 10 March 2020
  ➢ “2020 Feb”: 29 January – 28 February 2020
  ➢ “2019”: 7 February – 18 March 2019
  ➢ “2018”: 7 November – 18 December 2017

Please note that the survey results for “2020 Feb” and “2020 Mar” are based on the first 2020 EIF VC Survey wave, which was performed between 29 January and 10 March. In order to analyse the immediate effects of the COVID-19 crisis, the results of that survey wave were split into two response sets: (i) responses received in (January/)/February, and (ii) responses received in March. See EIF Working Paper 2020/064 for details.

Terminology: “net balances”

• The “net balances” shown in graphs refer to the percentage of respondents reporting a positive response minus the percentage of respondents reporting a negative response. (For example: In the question “Over the next 12 months, how do you expect the number of your new investments to develop?”, the net balance refers to the percentage of respondents expecting the number of their new investments to slightly/strongly increase minus the percentage of respondents expecting the number of their new investments to slightly/strongly decrease.)
List of acronyms

- AUM: Assets Under Management
- BA(s): Business Angel(s)
- bn: billion
- CEO: Chief Executive Officer
- COVID(-19): Coronavirus disease pandemic
- EIB: European Investment Bank
- EIF: European Investment Fund
- ESG: Environmental, Social, Governance
- EU: European Union
- EUR: Euro
- Feb: February
- GP(s): General Partner(s)
- HQ: Headquarter
- ICT: Information and Communications Technologies
- IPO: Initial Public Offering
- LP(s): Limited Partner(s)
- m: million
- M&A: Mergers and acquisitions
- Mar: March
- MBO: Management Buy-Out
- NAV: Net Asset Value
- Oct: October
- PE MM: Private Equity Mid-Market
- Q: Question
- Q4: Fourth quarter of a year
- RMA: Research & Market Analysis
- SME: Small and Medium-sized Enterprise
- UK: United Kingdom
- USA: United States of America
- VC: Venture Capital
- VCs: Venture Capital fund managers
- WIFU: Witten Institute for Family Business
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Respondents’ profile and VC firm characteristics
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Among respondents, 62% are EIF-supported, while 38% have never received EIF funding.

Q: “Has your investment firm ever applied to the EIF for funding for one of your venture capital funds?”
Q: “Are you familiar with the EIF and its activities?”
Q: “Did any of these applications result in EIF funding?”
**HQ & investment location / investment stage focus**

**Distribution of respondents by HQ country of VC firm**

- Approximately 4 in 10 VC fund managers come from VC firms headquartered in Germany (13.1%), the United Kingdom (9.9%), the Netherlands (9.7%), and France (7.9%). (For further details on the survey design and sample construction, please see Information about this study.)

- Overall, the frequency with which a country is selected as the first most important country is closely correlated with the HQ country.

- Germany is mentioned more frequently as the most important country for investment than would be expected from the frequency with which it is mentioned as a HQ country.

**Most important investment stage**

- Approximately 7 in 10 VCs invest in seed (33%) or early stage (35%) companies.

Q: “In which country/geography is your firm headquartered?”
Q: “Please select the most important countries in which your firm invests in ventures.” (multiple selection possible); and then “rank them by importance.”
Q: “What is (are) the most important venture stage(s) in which your firm invests?”

**Respondents’ profile and VC firm characteristics**
The most important industry in which VCs invest is ICT, followed by Biotech & Healthcare. Energy & Environment was selected more frequently as an important industry for VC investments compared to previous years.

Q: “Please select the most important industries in which your firm invests in ventures.” (multiple selection possible); and then “rank them by importance.”
Sizes of companies in which VCs prefer to invest

SMEs are VCs’ predominant investment target.

- The majority of VCs tend to invest only in SMEs.
- Some VCs tend to invest also in Small Mid-Caps and Large Mid-Caps, probably indicating the participation in later funding rounds.

Note: Percentages in **black font** on top of the bars show the aggregate percentage of respondents who have selected the respective market segment. **Reading example:** 100% of VCs invest in SMEs; for 98%, it is even their first most important investment target.

Q: "What are the sizes of companies in which your firm prefers to invest (enterprise sizes, by number of employees at the time of the first investment)?" (multiple selection possible); and then “rank them by importance.”
Respondents’ profile and VC firm characteristics

Almost 9 in 10 VC respondents are male.

Nearly half of the VC respondents are middle-aged, between 45 and 54 years of age. Only 8% are younger VCs, below 35 years old.

The vast majority of the surveyed fund managers are experienced VC investors – almost half have between 6 and 15 years of experience, while 1 in 5 even have more than 20 years of experience.

Q: “How do you identify?”

Q: “What is your age?”

Q: “In total, how many years of experience as a venture capital fund manager do you have?”

The average VC firm has been established in 2010, making it on average 12 years old.
**Gender diversity**

The vast majority of the VC teams are male-dominated, with less than 20% females as partners.

- More than **50%** of VCs report no female **partners at all** in the investment team of their respective VC firms.
- About **1 in 5** VCs report female representation at partner level between **20%** and **40%**.
- Only about **1 in 10** VCs report having a female partner share greater than **40%** in their investment team.
- As such, the **average female representation** in the investment team of the surveyed VC firms is **14.7%**.

**Q:** “How many partners (all types) are there in your firm?”

**Q:** “How many female partners (all types) are there in your firm?”

---

**Fund-related characteristics**

**Team experience**

- Yes: 72%
- No: 25%
- I don't know: 2%

**Latest VC fund size**

- 0 - 49: 32%
- 50 - 99: 25%
- 100 - 199: 25%
- 200 - 299: 8%
- 300 or more: 11%

Average fund size: 138m Euro

**Number of VC funds raised to date**

- 0: 0.2%
- 1: 21%
- 2: 26%
- 3: 18%
- 4: 11%
- 5: 8%
- 6 - 10: 13%
- 11 or more: 3%

Almost half of the VC firms have raised between two and three funds to date.

For 1 in 4 VC fund managers, their most recent fund was also the only one raised.

Almost 6 in 10 VCs raised less than EUR 100m in their latest fund.
VCs’ cooperation with business angels

- The majority of surveyed VCs state that they systematically co-invest with business angels.

- By contrast, the vast majority of surveyed VCs state that they do not cooperate with business angels with regard to the management of their VC fund(s).

Q: “Do any of your funds systematically co-invest with business angels?”

Q: “Is your venture capital firm or any of your funds managed by one or more business angels?”

VCs’ cooperation with business angels is high in terms of co-investing but not in terms of fund management.
7 in 10 VCs report that their respective firms do not intend to develop a private debt strategy within the next 5 years.

**Q:** “How likely would it be for your firm to develop a private debt strategy within the next 5 years?”

- **Very unlikely:** 2%
- **Unlikely:** 7%
- **Undecided:** 18%
- **Likely:** 39%
- **Highly likely:** 31%
- **We have already developed a private debt strategy:** 4%

Synergies provided by private debt providers to private equity

VCs mainly consider the more flexible and tailor-made covenants of private debt as the prime sources of synergy.

- "More flexible financial covenants" and "more willingness to lend against cash flow" (higher debt/EBITDA leverage) are the main sources of synergy of private debt to private equity as perceived by surveyed VCs.

- "More flexible repayment schedule" and "more tailor-made negative covenants for flexibility" are ranked third and fourth.

- Among VC respondents, 1 in 5 did either not know of any sources of synergy or did not wish to declare such.

Q: "In your view, what is the greatest synergy that private debt provides to private equity (that banks cannot / can no longer provide)?" (multiple selection possible)
Respondents' profile and VC firm characteristics

Technology transfer in VCs’ investment strategy

Technology Transfer is seen as an important strategic asset by the majority of VCs.

Q: “Does your investment strategy target Technology Transfer?”

- The majority of surveyed VCs state that their investment strategy does target Technology Transfer.

55% Yes
33% No
12% I don't know / Prefer not to say

EIF VC Survey
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VCs’ investment selection criteria
(full list of response options)

Q: “Considering your firm’s overall activity in the VC market, what are your most important investment selection criteria?” (multiple selection possible)

Note: The reported percentages show the aggregate percentage of respondents who have ranked the respective criterion in their top-3 selections.
Changes in VCs’ investment selection criteria
(criteria with **highest increase in importance** – full list of response options)

Q: “Considering the current geopolitical situation and macroeconomic environment, has the importance of the respective investment selection criteria changed?”

![Bar chart showing changes in VCs’ investment selection criteria](image)

**Note:** Reading example: 62% of VCs state that the importance of “Valuation and deal terms” has increased in the context of the current geopolitical situation and macroeconomic environment.

### Operational challenges for VC funds in the current environment

*(full list of response options)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operational Issue</th>
<th>Survival-threatening problem</th>
<th>Important problem</th>
<th>Minor problem</th>
<th>No problem at all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquidity needs of portfolio companies</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced set of divestment &amp; exit opportunities</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More regulation &amp; bureaucracy in fund management</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased operational performance of portfolio companies</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in overall levels of venture valuation</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of qualified talent for fund management</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing volatility of fund performance</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of suitable inv. targets regarding investment topics</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of suitable inv. targets regarding venture stage</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of own experience with geopolitical &amp; macroeconomic uncertainties</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund exposure to Russia, Ukraine &amp; Belarus</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of suitable inv. targets regarding geographical focus</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund exposure to Eastern European EU countries</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defaulting LPs</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel restrictions impacting portfolio monitoring</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q: “Considering the current geopolitical situation and macroeconomic environment, to what extent do the following operational issues constitute a problem for your venture capital fund(s)?
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